arXiv:1608.02338v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 8 Aug 2016

A new truncation scheme for BBGKY hierarchy: conservation of energy and time reversibility

S Siva Nasarayya Chari[1], Ramarao Inguva[2] and K P N Murthy[3]

[1]School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India.

E-mail: ssnchari@gmail.com

[2]Visiting Professor, Centre for Modeling, Simulation and Design (CMSD), University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500046, India.

E-mail: ringuvausa@gmail.com

[3]Adjunct Professor, Manipal Centre for Natural Sciences (MCNS), Manipal University, Manipal 576104, India.

E-mail: k.p.n.murthy@gmail.com

Abstract. We propose a new truncation scheme for Bogoliubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon (BBGKY) hierarchy. We approximate the three particle distribution function $f_3(1,2,3,t)$ in terms of $f_2(1,2,t)$, $f_1(3,t)$ and two point correlation functions $\{g_2(1,3,t), g_2(2,3,t)\}$. Further f_2 is expressed in terms of $f_1(1,t)$ and $g_2(1,2,t)$ to close the hierarchy, resulting a set of coupled kinetic equations for f_1 and g_2. In this paper we show that, for velocity independent correlations, the kinetic equation for f_1 reduces to the model proposed by Martys[Martys N S 1999 *IJMPC* **10** 1367-1382]. In the steady state limit, the kinetic equation for g_2 reduces to Born-Green-Yvon (BGY) hierarchy for homogeneous density. We also prove that the present scheme respects the energy conservation and under specific circumstances, time symmetry *i.e.,* $\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = 0$ where $H(t)$ refers to the Boltzmann's H-function.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.20.Dd, 51.10.+y

Keywords: BBGKY hierarchy, kinetic theory, time-reversibility

1. Introduction

Consider a system of N interacting gas molecules present in a volume V under the influence of an external potential $U(\vec{r})$. From Liouville's theorem one can say that, the s-particle phase space distribution function $f_s(1,2,\cdots,s,t)$, $(1 \le s \le N-1)$ of such a system is related to the $(s+1)$-particle distribution function through BBGKY hierarchy [1, 2] of equations,

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\vec{p}_i}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \vec{r}_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \vec{F}_i \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \vec{p}_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \vec{p}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}_i} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1(\neq i)}^{s} \phi_{ij} \right) = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \int \frac{\partial f_{s+1}}{\partial \vec{p}_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_{i,s+1}}{\partial \vec{r}_i} \, d\omega_{s+1} \, . \qquad (1)$$

In the above, $\phi_{ij} \, [= \phi(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|)]$ is the pair interaction potential between i^{th} and j^{th} particles, $\vec{F}_i = -\vec{\nabla} U(\vec{r}_i)$ and

$$f_s(1,2,\cdots,s,t) = \frac{N!}{(N-s)!} \int \prod_{r=s+1}^{N} d\omega_r \; \rho(1,2,\cdots,N,t) \qquad (2)$$

where $\rho(1,2,\cdots,N,t)$ is phase space density of the total system. One need to approximate the higher order distribution functions f_{s+1}, $(1 \le s \le N-1)$ to obtain a closed set of equations for f_s. Solution of these kinetic equations holds importance in understanding the transport processes in dense gaseous systems. There were recent investigations in this direction *e.g.*, [3, 4], where hierarchy is truncated at f_2 to derive a model kinetic equation for f_1. In this context, we propose a new closure scheme for the hierarchy at the level of three particle distribution function $f_3(1,2,3,t)$. The scheme and respective calculation is discussed in the following section.

2. New scheme of closure for hierarchy

From (1), we get for $s = 1$ and $s = 2$ as

$$\frac{\partial f_1(1)}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} f_1(1) = \int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial f_2(1,2)}{\partial \vec{v}_1} \, d^3 r_2 \, d^3 v_2 \qquad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_2(1,2)}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} f_2 + \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_2} f_2 + \frac{\vec{F}_{12}}{m} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_{v_1} - \vec{\nabla}_{v_2}) f_2 = \\ = \int \left[\frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial f_3(1,2,3)}{\partial \vec{v}_1} + \frac{\partial \phi_{23}}{\partial \vec{r}_2} \cdot \frac{\partial f_3(1,2,3)}{\partial \vec{v}_2} \right] \, d^3 r_3 \, d^3 v_3 \qquad (4)$$

respectively, in absence of external forces ($\vec{F} = \mathbf{0}$). The above set of equations is not closed as f_3 is not known. We approximate f_3 in terms of its lower order distribution functions,

$$f_3(1,2,3) = f_2(1,2) \; f_1(3) \; g_2(1,3) \; g_2(2,3) \qquad (5)$$

and

$$f_2(1,2) = f_1(1) \; f_1(2) \; g_2(1,2) \; . \qquad (6)$$

From (6) and (3), we get

$$\frac{\partial f_1(1)}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} f_1(1) = \int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_1} [f_1(1)\ f_1(2)\ g_2(1,2)]\ d^3r_2\ d^3v_2\ ,$$

$$= \frac{\partial f_1(1)}{\partial \vec{v}_1} \cdot \int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\ f_1(2)\ g_2(1,2)\ d^3r_2\ d^3v_2\ +$$

$$+\ f_1(1)\ \int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial g_2(1,2)}{\partial \vec{v}_1}\ f_1(2)\ d^3r_2\ d^3v_2\ . \qquad (7)$$

The above equation can be rewritten as,

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} + \vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_1}\right] \ln(f_1(1)) = (\vec{\nabla}_{r_1^+} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1^+}) \Phi^{(1)}_{eff}(1^+, 2)\ , \qquad (8)$$

where

$$\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) = -\int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\ f_1(2)\ g_2(1,2)\ d^3r_2\ d^3v_2 \qquad (9)$$

and $$\Phi^{(1)}_{eff}(1^+, 2) = \int \phi_{1+2}\ g_2(1^+, 2)\ f_1(2)\ d^3r_2\ d^3v_2\ . \qquad (10)$$

From (4) and (5), we write,

$$\frac{\partial f_2(1,2)}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} f_2(1,2) + \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_2} f_2(1,2) + \frac{\vec{F}_{12}}{m} \cdot (\vec{\nabla}_{v_1} - \vec{\nabla}_{v_2}) f_2(1,2) =$$

$$= \int [C_1 + C_2]\ d^3(3) \qquad (11)$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_1} [f_2(1,2)\ f_1(3)\ g_2(1,3)\ g_2(2,3)]\ ,$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\partial \phi_{23}}{\partial \vec{r}_2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_2} [f_2(1,2)\ f_1(3)\ g_2(1,3)\ g_2(2,3)]\ ,$$

and $d^3(3) = d^3r_3\ d^3v_3$ respectively. The above equation can be further simplified as,

$$[S'_{12}] \ln(g_2(1,2)) = -\ a^{eff}_{int}(1^+2) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} \ln(f_1(1)) -$$

$$- a^{eff}_{int}(12^+) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2} \ln(f_1(2)) +$$

$$+ (\vec{\nabla}_{r_1^+} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1^+}) \left[\Psi^{(2)}(1^+2) - \Phi^{(1)}_{eff}(1^+2)\right] +$$

$$+ (\vec{\nabla}_{r_2^+} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2^+}) \left[\Psi^{(2)}(12^+) - \Phi^{(1)}_{eff}(12^+)\right]\ . \qquad (12)$$

Here,

$$[S'_{12}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} + \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_2} + a^{(2)}_{int}(1^+2) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} + a^{(2)}_{int}(12^+) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2}\ , \qquad (13)$$

$$\Psi^{(2)}(1^+2) \ = \ \int \phi(1^+3)\ g_2(1^+3)\ f_1(3)\ g_2(2,3)\ d^3(3)\ , \qquad (14)$$

$$\Psi^{(2)}(12^+) \ = \ \int \phi(2^+3)\ g_2(2^+3)\ f_1(3)\ g_2(1,3)\ d^3(3)\ , \qquad (15)$$

$$a_{int}^{eff}(1^+2) \;= a_{int}^{(2)}(1^+2) - a_{int}^{(1)}(1) \;, \tag{16}$$

$$a_{int}^{eff}(12^+) \;= a_{int}^{(2)}(12^+) - a_{int}^{(1)}(2) \;. \tag{17}$$

$$\tag{18}$$

where

$$a_{int}^{(2)}(1^+2) = \; - \int \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \; f_1(3) \; g_2(1,3) \; g_2(2,3) \; d^3(3) \;, \tag{19}$$

$$a_{int}^{(2)}(12^+) = \; - \int \frac{\partial \phi_{23}}{\partial \vec{r}_2} \; f_1(3) \; g_2(1,3) \; g_2(2,3) \; d^3(3) \;. \tag{20}$$

Equations (8) and (12) together form a closed set of equations. A numerical solution of these coupled integro-differential equations can be obtained for a given initial condition on f_1 and g_2. Unlike the earlier models [4, 5, 6], velocity and time dependence of g_2 is retained in the present model. Hence it yields more reliable results of time evolution of f_1. In the following subsection, we present the total energy conservation succeeded by a discussion on time behavior of Boltzmann's H-function for the present truncation scheme.

2.1. Conservation of total energy

The time rate of change of local kinetic energy ($K_{loc}(\vec{r})$) can be calculated as,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(K_{loc}(\vec{r}_1,t)\right) = \int d^3v_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}_1^2\right) d^3(2) \left\{\frac{1}{m}\int \left[\frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\cdot\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \vec{v}_1} + \frac{\partial \phi_{23}}{\partial \vec{r}_2}\cdot\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \vec{v}_2}\right] d^3(3)\right\} . \tag{21}$$

Upon integrating by parts with respect to $\vec{v}_1$ and $\vec{v}_2$, assuming f_3 vanishes at infinite velocities, the above equation results in

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(K_{loc}(\vec{r}_1,t)\right) = -\int d^3\vec{v}_1 \; d^3(2) \; d^3(3) \left[\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13}\right] f_3(1,2,3,t) \;. \tag{22}$$

From the above, we can write,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(K_{tot}(t)\right) = -\int d^3(1) \; d^3(3) \left[\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13}\right] f_2(1,3,t) \;, \tag{23}$$

where

$$K_{tot}(t) = \int K_{loc}(\vec{r},t) \; d^3r \;. \tag{24}$$

Assuming only two-body interactions, the time rate of change of total potential energy can be written as,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Phi_{tot}(t)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int \phi_{12} \; \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} \; d^3(1) \; d^3(2) \;, \tag{25}$$

where

$$\Phi_{tot}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int \phi_{12} \; f_2(1,2,t) \; d^3(1) \; d^3(2) \tag{26}$$

is the total potential energy of the system at any instant of time t. From (4) and (25), we write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Phi_{tot}(t)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int \phi_{12}\left\{-\left[\Theta\right] f_2 + \int\left(\frac{\partial\phi_{13}}{\partial\vec{r}_1}\cdot\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial\vec{v}_1} + \frac{\partial\phi_{23}}{\partial\vec{r}_2}\cdot\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial\vec{v}_2}\right) d^3(3)\right\} d^3(1)\, d^3(2)\ . \quad (27)$$

where

$$\Theta = \vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{r_1} + \vec{v}_2\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{r_2} + \frac{\vec{F}_{12}}{m}\cdot\left(\vec{\nabla}_{v_1} - \vec{\nabla}_{v_2}\right)\ . \quad (28)$$

Upon integrating by parts with respect to $\vec{v}_1$, $\vec{v}_2$, $\vec{r}_1$ and $\vec{r}_2$, assuming the distribution function vanishes at $v = \pm\,\infty$ and $r = \pm\,\infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Phi_{tot}(t)\right) = \int d^3(1)\ d^3(2)\ \left(\vec{v}_1\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{12}\right) f_2(1,2,t)\ . \quad (29)$$

Hence, from (23) and (29), we notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(K_{tot}(t)\right) = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Phi_{tot}(t)\right)\ , \quad (30)$$

and hence the total energy is conserved.

2.2. H-function and time reversibility

We have the Boltzmann's H-function defined as,

$$H(t) = \int d^3(1)\ f_1(1,t)\ \ln f_1(1,t)\ . \quad (31)$$

From (3), the time rate of change of $H(t)$ can be written as,

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = -\int d^3(1)\ d^3(2)\left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{12}\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{v_1}\ln f_1(1,t)\right] f_2(1,2,t) \quad (32)$$

$$= -\int d^3(1)\ d^3(2)\left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{12}\cdot\vec{\nabla}_{v_1} f_1(1,t)\right] f_1(2,t)\ g_2(1,2,t)\ . \quad (33)$$

$$\text{(using (6))} \quad (34)$$

Now, assuming the density is homogeneous, we can write

$$g_2(1,2,t) = g_2(r_{12}, v_{12}, t)\ , \quad (35)$$

where $r_{12} = |\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1|$ and $v_{12} = |\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1|$ respectively. Hence from (33) and (35),

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = \int d^3r_1\ \hat{r}_1\cdot\int d^3v_1\ \hat{v}_1\ \frac{\partial f_1(1,t)}{\partial v_1}\ \left[I_{12}\right] \quad (36)$$

where

$$\left[I_{12}\right] = \int\ d^3r_{12}\ d^3v_{12}\ f_1(2,t)\ g_2(r_{12}, v_{12}, t)\ \frac{\partial\phi_{12}}{\partial r_{12}}\ . \quad (37)$$

Since the first integral in (36) is the vector sum of all possible directions, we get

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = 0\ . \quad (38)$$

Since we have a closed equation for $f_2(1,2)$, let us define the H-function as,

$$H_2(t) = \int d^3(1)\ d^3(2)\ f_2(1,2,t)\ln f_2(1,2,t)\ . \quad (39)$$

From (4), we can write

$$\frac{dH_2(t)}{dt} = \int d^3(1)\, d^3(2) \int d^3(3) \left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} f_3 + \vec{\nabla}_{r_2}\phi_{23} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2} f_3\right] \ln f_2(1,2) \,. \quad (40)$$

Upon integrating by parts with respect to $\vec{v}_1$ and $\vec{v}_2$, the above equation becomes

$$\frac{dH_2}{dt} = -\int d^3(1)\, d^3(2)\, d^3(3) \left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} \ln f_2(1,2) + \vec{\nabla}_{r_2}\phi_{23} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2} \ln f_2(1,2)\right] f_3 \,. \quad (41)$$

From (5) and (6), we get

$$\frac{dH_2}{dt} = -\int d^3(1)\, d^3(2)\, d^3(3) \left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} \ln f_1(1) + \vec{\nabla}_{r_2}\phi_{23} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2} \ln f_1(2)\right] f_3 \,. \quad (42)$$

By integrating out the 3^{rd} particle that is not necessary, the above equation reduces to

$$\frac{dH_2}{dt} = -2\int d^3(1)\; d^3(3) \left[\vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\phi_{13} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} \ln f_1(1)\right] f_2(1,3) \;. \quad (43)$$

Note that the above integral is same as in (32). Hence, from the same argument as before, one can conclude for a homogeneous medium that

$$\frac{dH_2(t)}{dt} = 0 \;. \quad (44)$$

In the following section we present the case when the two point correlations are independent of velocity and time.

2.3. Velocity and time independent correlations

Let us assume that g_2 is independent of momentum and time. Then, (8) reduces to

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} + \vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_1}\right] \; \ln(f_1(1)) = 0 \;, \quad (45)$$

since there is no velocity and time dependence in g_2. Hence (45) can be written as,

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1}\right] f_1(1) = -\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}_1} f_1(1) \;, \quad (46)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) &= -\int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; f_1(2)\; g_2(1,2)\; d^3(2) \\
&= -\int \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; f_1(\vec{r}_2, \vec{v}_2, t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)\; d^3(2) \\
\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1, t) &= -\int \rho(\vec{r}_2, t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{12}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3 r_2 \qquad (47) \\
&= \vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1, t)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, mass density $\rho(\vec{r}, t)$ is defined as,

$$\rho(\vec{r}, t) = \int f_1(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)\; d^3 v \;, \quad (48)$$

and $\vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1,t)$ refers to the mean-field force term in the Martys' kinetic equation, see [4, 5] for more details. Hence if we drop the momentum and time dependence in g_2, the first of the coupled equations, (8) reduces to the Martys kinetic equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \vec{r}_1} + \vec{a}_{ext} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \vec{v}_1} \;=\; \Omega_1 \;, \\
\Omega_1 \;&=\; \int \frac{\partial \phi(r_{12})}{\partial \vec{r}_1} \cdot \frac{\partial (f_1(1)\; f_1(2)\; g_2)}{\partial \vec{v}_1}\; d\vec{r}_2\; d\vec{v}_2 \qquad (49)\\
&=\; \frac{\partial f_1(1)}{\partial \vec{v}_1} \;\cdot\; \int \frac{\partial \phi(r_{12})}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; f_1(2)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2,t)\; d\vec{r}_2\; d\vec{v}_2 \\
&=\; -\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \vec{v}_1} \cdot \vec{a}_{int}(\vec{r}_1,t) \;.
\end{aligned}$$

The second of the set of coupled equations, (12), becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_1} + \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{r_2}\right) \ln(g_2(1,2)) = \\
= \left(\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) - \vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(1^+2)\right) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_1} \ln(f_1(1)) + \\
+ \left(\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(2) - \vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(12^+)\right) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{v_2} \ln(f_1(2)) \;. \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

From (47) we know that $\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(1) \to \vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1,t)$, similarly $\vec{a}^{(1)}_{int}(2) \to \vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_2,t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(1^+2) &= -\int \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; f_1(\vec{r}_3,\vec{v}_3,t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; g_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3)\; d^3r_3\; d^3v_3 \;, \\
&= -\int \rho(\vec{r}_3,t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; g_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3r_3 \;. \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

From the above, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1^{\,+},\vec{r}_2,t) = \\
= -\int \rho(\vec{r}_3,t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; h_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3r_3 \;- \\
- \int \rho(\vec{r}_3,t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3r_3 \;, \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$h_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3) = \{g_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3) - 1\} \;. \qquad (53)$$

From (52) and (53), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1^{\,+},\vec{r}_2,t) = -\int \rho(\vec{r}_3,t)\; g_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; h_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3r_3 \;+ \\
+ \vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1,t) \;, \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation will show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{a}^{(2)}_{int}(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2^{\,+},t) = -\int \rho(\vec{r}_3,t)\; h_2(\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_3)\; g_2(\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3)\; \frac{\partial \phi_{13}}{\partial \vec{r}_1}\; d^3r_3 \;+ \\
+ \vec{a}^{(m)}_{int}(\vec{r}_2,t) \;. \qquad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

In the steady state limit, take f_1 to be a Maxwellian, *i.e.,* $f_1 \approx Ae^{-\beta\frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}^2}$. Now, from (50), (54) and (55), one can show that

$$\vec{\nabla}_{r_1} \ln g_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = -\beta\phi_{12} - \beta\rho_0 \int g_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_3)\ h_2(r_{23})\ \frac{\partial\phi_{13}}{\partial\vec{r}_1}\ d^3r_3\ , \tag{56}$$

where we assume the system is homogeneous, $\rho(\vec{r}_3) \approx \rho_0$. The above result is a truncated version of the BGY (Born-Green-Yvon) hierarchy, see [7, 8]. Note that the BGY hierarchy relates g_2 to g_3 and so on. But the present scheme is equivalent to writing

$$g_3(1,2,3) \approx g_2(1,2)\ g_2(2,3)\ g_2(3,1)\ . \tag{57}$$

From the above, which is called as "Kirkwood superposition approximation," [9], the BGY hierarchy reduces to (56).

3. Conclusions

In this paper we propose a new closure scheme for BBGKY hierarchy by approximating f_3 in terms of its lower order distribution functions and two point correlation functions. This resulted in a set of coupled kinetic equations for $f_1(1,t)$ and $g_2(1,2,t)$. Since the momentum and time dependence of g_2 is retained, it should provide a more reliable estimate of time evolution of f_1 compared to earlier models [4, 5, 6]. We have also shown that the present model reduces to [4, 6] for velocity independent correlations. Here, we have shown analytically that the current closure scheme respects time symmetry, *i.e.*, $\dfrac{dH(t)}{dt} = 0$, for homogeneous density.

Acknowledgments

IR would like to acknowledge financial support provided by the Centre for Modeling, Simulation and Design (CMSD), University of Hyderabad.

References

[1] Klimontovich Y L 1995 *Statistical Theory of Open Systems, vol 1: A Unified Approach to Kinetic Description of Processes in Active Systems* (Kluwer Academic Publishers-Plenum Publishers)
[2] Bogolyubov N N 1962 *Problems in Dynamical Theory in Statistical Physics (in Russian); translation reprinted in: Studies in Statistical Mechanics, vol 1*, Edited by J. deBoer and G. E. Uhlenbeck (New York, Wiley)
[3] Xu A, Succi S and Boghosian B M 2006 *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* **72** 249-252
[4] Martys N S 1999 *International Journal of Modern Physics C* **10** 1367-1382
[5] Martys N S 2006 *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **362** 57 - 61
[6] Chari S S N, Inguva R and Murthy K P N 2014 *Journal of Statistical Physics* **157** 113-123
[7] Born M and Green H S 1949 *A General Kinetic Theory of Liquids* (Cambridge University Press, England)
[8] Green M S 1956 *J. Chem. Phys.* **25** 836
[9] Kirkwood J G 1947 *J. Chem. Phys.* **15** 72
[10] Jaynes E T 1957 *Phys. Rev.* **106** 620-630

[11] Jaynes E T 1957 *Phys. Rev.* **108** 171-190
[12] Mitchell W C 1957 Statistical mechanics of thermally driven systems *PhD Thesis* Washington University